UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Western District of North Carolina

ι	NITED STATES OF AMERICA)	(For Revocation of Probation or Supervised Release)				
V.)	(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)				
MARTIN WALTER LUCAS)	Case Number: DNCW491CR00092-001				
)	USM Number: 09130-058				
Filed Date of Original Judgment: 8/28/2014)	Charles R. Brewer				
((Or Filed Date of Last Amended Judgment))	Defendant's Attorney				
Rea	son for Amendment:						
	Correction of Sentence on Remand (18 U.S.C. § 3742(f)(1) and (2))		Modification of Supervision Conditions (18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(c) or 3583(e))				
	Reduction of Sentence for Changed Circumstances (Fed. R.		Modification of Imposed Term of Imprisonment for Extraordinary				
	Crim. P. 35(b)) Correction of Sentence by Sentencing Court (Fed. R. Crim. P.		and Compelling Reasons (18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)) ☐ Modification of Imposed Term of Imprisonment for Retroactive				
	35(a))		Amendment(s) to the Sentencing Guidelines (18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2))				
	Correction of Sentence for Clerical Mistake (Fed. R. Crim . P.		□ Direct Motion to District Court Pursuant				
	36)		☐ 28 U.S.C. § 2255 Or ☐ 18 U.S.C. § 3559(c)(7)☐ Modification of Restitution Order 18 U.S.C. § 3664				
THI	E DEFENDANT:						
\boxtimes			4 as stated in Petition and conditions 5s, 6s, 7s & 8s as stated				
	in Second Addendum [Doc. 11] of the term of sul Was found in violation of condition(s) count(s) after						
	` , ` , ` ,		<u> </u>				
	CORDINGLY, the court has adjudicated that the deation	eiei	Violation				
	mber Nature of Violation		Concluded				
	1 FAILURE TO PAY RESTITUTION AS DIRECT	ED	BY THE U.S. PROBATION OFFICER				
	2 FAILURE TO MAINTAIN EMPLOYMENT AS D	IRE	ECTED BY THE U.S. PROBATION				
	OFFICER 3 FAILURE TO NOTIFY U.S. PROBATION OFFI	CEF	R WITHIN 72 HOURS OF ANY CHANGE				
	IN RESIDENCE						
	4 FAILURE TO SUCCESSFULLY PARTICIPATE IN A SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT						
	PROGRAM AS DIRECTED BY THE U.S. PROBATION OFFICER 5S NEW LAW VIOLATION – RESISTING ARREST - ASSAULT ON AN OFFICER 9/04/1999						
	6s NEW LAW VIOLATION – BURGLARY 2ND DE	GR					
	7s NEW LAW VIOLATION – PETIT LARCENY (TV	WO	·				
	8s NEW LAW VIOLATION – ESCAPE		3/17/2000				
			es 2 through 3 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed				
pur	pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, <u>United States v. Booker</u> , 125 S.Ct. 738 (2005), and 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).						
	The Defendant has not violated condition(s) and is discharged as such to such violation(s) condition.						
\boxtimes							
_1	IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant shall notify the United States Attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this						
			ines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this alties, the defendant shall notify the court and United States				
, ~ ~ (, a. b ran, parar ir brabiba to pay monotary pe	<i>.</i> ui	miles, mile delicitatin enam metily the bount and office clates				

attorney of any material change in the defendant's economic circumstances.

Date of Imposition of Sentence: 8/21/2014

Signed: August 29, 2014

Martin Reidinger United States District Judge Defendant: Martin Walter Lucas Judgment- Page 2 of 3

Case Number: DNCW491CR00092-001

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of <u>TWENTY-FOUR (24) MONTHS</u>.

\boxtimes	The Court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:						
	 Participation in the Federal Inmate Financial Responsibility Program. Participation in any available educational and vocational opportunities. 						
\boxtimes	The Defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.						
☐ The Defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this District:							
	□ As notified by the United States Marshal.□ At _ on						
	ne Defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:						
	 □ As notified by the United States Marshal. □ Before 2 p.m. on □ As notified by the Probation Office. 						
	RETURN						
l ha	I have executed this Judgment as follows:						
De	fendant delivered on to to at						
	, with a certified copy of this Judgment.						
	United States Marshal						

Deputy Marshal

Defendant: Martin Walter Lucas

Case Number: DNCW491CR00092-001

Judgment- Page 3 of 3

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The defendant shall pay the following total criminal monetary penalties in accordance with the Schedule of Payments.

	ASSESSMENT	FINE	RESTITUTION				
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00				
	determination of restitution is defe	erred until. An <i>Amended Judgment in a</i>	Criminal Case (AO 245C) will be entered				
☑ In all other respects, the terms of the original judgment [Doc. 3] in this matter remain in full force and effect, including the order for payment of:							
	☐ court-appointed counsel fees,	nce remaining in the amount of \$2,550.00 there being a balance remaining in the ng a balance remaining in the amount of	amount of \$.				
\boxtimes	No term of supervised release sh	nall follow the term of incarceration.					
	FINE						
	full before the fifteenth day after the		62,500.00, unless the fine or restitution is S.C. § 3612(f). All of the payment options uency pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(g).				
☐ The court has determined that the defendant does not have the ability to pay interest and it is ordered that:							
☐ The interest requirement is waived.							
☐ The interest requirement is modified as follows:							
COURT APPOINTED COUNSEL FEES							
☐ The defendant shall pay court appointed counsel fees.							
☐ The defendant shall pay \$0.00 towards court appointed fees.							